Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/709,798	KARTSCHOKE ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Suchin Parihar	2825
All Participants: Status of Application: <u>Allowable</u>		
(1) <u>Suchin Parihar (Examiner)</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Steven Fischman (Reg.# 34,594)</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 9 June 2006	Time: <u>3:15 pm EST</u>	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: none		
Claims discussed: 6 and 16	·	
Prior art documents discussed: none		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
<ul> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.</li> </ul>		
Suchin Parka (Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/	Applicant's Representative S	ignature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner suggested that applicant amend claims 6 and 16 to overcome the claim objection set forth in the Ex parte Quayle Office Action mailed 4/5/2006 to bring the application into a condition for allowance. The applicant agrees and claims 6 and 16 are amended as discussed in the Examiner's Amendment.